

1846-005  
Lee Co.

Chancery Causes: Henry Colson vs John Colson, jr. &

Shoemaker, Shumate, Ely, McPherson, Shumaker, Littrell, Norvell,  
Bush

CA - Estate Dispute  
T - Property

Will: 1812 : Anna Colson : Lee County



To The Hon Saml V Halkerson Judge of the Circuit Court of  
La County

Henry Colson of The said County respectfully represents that in the year 18      that one John Hayes and one Henry Hayes were seized in fee of a Certain tract of land Situated in The western portion of The said County and supposed to contain about 130      acres and bounded <sup>now</sup> on the East by The lands of Robert M Ely on the North by The lands of said Ely and The lands of Charles Barry on the West by the lands of Sumner M Pherson and on the South by The lands of one John Colson S<sup>r</sup> and The lands that belongs to the heirs of Harry Colson Dec<sup>d</sup> And that on the day of      18      The said Hayes bargained and sold The said land to your Orator and one Harry Colson for The sum of \$300. and that The said Hayes agreed to make to your Orator and The said Harry a right in fee to the said land by the day of      18      your Orator states that on the day of November 1834 that he paid The said Hayes in Consideration of the said tract or parcel of land The sum of \$150. being one half of The whole amount The said Hayes had sold The whole of The said land to your Orator and The said Harry, <sup>for</sup> and that on the day of      18      The said Harry in Consideration of <sup>part of</sup> the said land paid the said Hayes The remaining half of The purchase money of said land, and that on the 1<sup>st</sup> day of November 1834 The said Hayes in the absence of your Orator made to The said Harry a right in fee to The whole of The said tract of land and <sup>said Hayes</sup> went immediately to some of The western Counties your Orator states that at the time the said Harry and himself purchased said land of said Hayes that it was their mutual and special agreement that your Orator was to have for The said sum of \$150. paid to said Hayes in Consideration of said land all that portion of said tract or parcels of land lying west of a branch running through said land known by the name of the land branch it being the largest branch that passes through said land



And that after said Hayes had made to said Harry a  
right to all of the said land The said Harry agreed and  
promised to make to your Orator a right in fee to all the  
said land west of said branch in consideration of the  
sum of \$150. paid to said Hayes as before stated The  
said being their Original Contract at the time of the purchase  
of said land. And as a part fulfillment of the said Harry's  
agreement and Contract gave to your Orator full posses-  
sion of the said land west of said branch which your  
Orator held and greatly improved said land for at least  
12 years which was in sight of said Harry's dwelling  
which possession the said Harry always recognized as lawful  
and just. Your Orator states that the said Harry and  
himself were brothers and were not disposed to treat each  
other with that strictness that might be expected of strangers  
and that if the said Harry had continued to live that there  
would have been no need of this suit but that he departed  
this life on the day of 18 without making  
your Orator any right to said land, and that your Orator  
has had possession of said land ever since the death of the  
said Harry. And that the said Harry left the following  
persons who are his only heirs (viz) John Colson, Rebecca Shumate,  
Balis Shumate, William Colson, Gelana Colson, Harry Colson  
and Sarah Colson the widow of the said Harry Dec<sup>d</sup> And  
that your Orator being without any adequate remedy at  
Common law and only redressable in a Court of Equity his  
prayer therefore is that the said John Colson, Rebecca Shumate,  
Balis Shumate, William Colson, Gelana Colson, Harry Colson  
and Sarah Colson be made parties defendants to this bill  
and that they be required to answer the several allegations thereof  
upon their Corporate Oaths and that as the said William Colson,  
Gelana Colson and Harry Colson are under the age of 21 years  
that a guardian ad litem be appointed for them, and that upon  
a final hearing of this Case that your Hon<sup>ble</sup> will decree to your Orator all  
such right and title to the said land <sup>west of said branch</sup> as the said Harry had at his death

and that your Hon<sup>ble</sup> will extend to your Orator such other  
and further relief as the nature of his Case may require  
that is consistent with Equity and justice. May the  
Commonwealth's writ of Sp<sup>ecies</sup> issue directed to him and in  
duty bound he will comply &c

Ely for Compt<sup>er</sup>



L 8.07  
 J 1.50  
 a 15.00  
 S 9.00  
 J-11 45  
 H. 3.00  
 Sec. 4.00  
 Dr 2.50  
 Card 19.75  
 74.27

Henry Colson

vs } Rice

John Colson & others

Rice filed 22<sup>nd</sup> May March 37

Apr contd. May, June

July, Aug, Sept

October Rules 1837

Answer of Guardian addition  
filed 4<sup>th</sup> Nov. 1837

Oct Rules set for hearing by

1837 (1837 May Continued

1836 - April Report Com. Conf.  
Deed made & Stricken from  
Docket,

West end to  
 branch of branch  
 must be made  
 if not made



To the Honorable, Samuel V. Fulkerson Judge  
of the Circuit Court of Lee County.

The Separate Answer of William Colson,  
Galena Colson, and Harry Colson, infant heirs  
of Harry Colson deceased by David Miller, <sup>their</sup> Guardian  
ad litem to the Bill of Complaint exhibited  
in this Court against these defendants & others.

These defendants by their Guardian after  
reserving to themselves the benefit of the  
usual exceptions to Complainants' Bill, for  
answer thereto say that they are minors  
under the age of 21 years and are not  
capable of judging correctly of the facts as  
stated in Compts. Bill, and therefore they  
neither admit nor deny the Compts' alle-  
gations, though they ask that the Compts.  
be required to proceed as equity requires.

The Court of equity being the peculiar guar-  
dian of the rights of infants, <sup>therefore</sup> they ask the  
protection of the Court in any and every  
thing that affects their rights in the  
premises. And having answered they pray  
to be dismissed with their Costs.

David Miller

Guardian ad litem,

Virginia Lee County to wit:

This day David Miller personally ap-  
peared before me the undersigned Clerk of the  
Circuit Court of Said County and  
made oath that the above answer is true  
to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Given under my hand this the 3<sup>rd</sup> day of  
September 1859.

R W Hamlin Clerk



Henry Colson  
vs. Answer of  
Guardian  
ad litem  
John Colson & others

44  
29  
37  
110



To the Honorable Samuel V. Fulkerson, Judge of the  
Circuit Court of Lee County, the separate answer of  
Bailis Shumate, <sup>& Rebecca his wife,</sup> John W. Colson, and Sarah Colson,  
To a bill in Chancery filed in said Court against  
them and others by Henry Colson, respectfully shews:

That these respondents, saving now and at all times  
hereafter the benefit of all just & proper exceptions to  
the said bill, yet for answer thereto, or to so much thereof  
as they are advised it is material they should answer,  
they say: That these respondents have no personal  
knowledge of the contract alledged by Compté to have  
been made between him and Harvey Colson deceased;  
but they do not admit such contract to have been made,  
and they claim all the benefit & advantage to which they  
are entitled by law from the fact, stated by the Compté  
in his bill, that the alledged contract under which he  
now claims was a verbal one & not written. These  
respondents further state, that the deed ~~made~~ stated in  
the bill to have been made by <sup>the</sup> Hayses to Arva (not Harvey)  
Colson dec'd in his lifetime was made, as appears by its date,  
on the 15<sup>th</sup> day of November 1834, which deed, with the  
certificates thereto annexed, is filed herewith as part of  
this answer marked (L.). It appears therefrom, that the  
pretended claim of the Compté is of very ancient date, and  
goes back to a period long before the said Arva Colson's  
death, which occurred about the day of October 1842.  
These respondents contend, that the lapse of so great a length  
of time without the Compté having attempted till now to  
claim his pretended contract, and his sleeping upon  
it for more than twenty five years, and for many years  
after Arva Colson's death, is sufficient to mark the said  
claim as pretentious & unjust, and they insist upon the <sup>limitation and</sup> strong presumption in their favor arising from the facts.  
They further state, that the Compté lived, up to said



Arva Colson's death, very near him - within two or three hundred yards, and was constantly with him during his last illness which was a protracted one of some months continuance, and was present during that illness when said Arva made his will; yet, during all that time, as far as these respondents know or believe, he set up no such claim as he now advances. It is apparent to these respondents, that the comp't has now stamped up his claim at a time when he has taken care that said Arva Colson cannot meet him, when it is difficult (probably impossible) to obtain the evidence necessary to sustain <sup>of these respondents,</sup> ~~these~~ rights, & when his only antagonists now are a widow not informed of her late husband's business transactions, and some children all of whom were then, & some are now, infants having <sup>and no knowledge where to find proof relating to it</sup> no knowledge of such matters.

It is true, that the comp't has been in possession, & was at the time of Arva Colson's death, of a small portion of that portion of the land he now claims, consisting of some eight or ten acres; but how he obtained such possession first, they do not know; they do not admit that it was obtained under the contract set forth in the comp't's bill. Since Arva Colson's death the respondent Sarah Colson his widow, who has devoted herself to the care & raising of her family, has permitted the comp't to occupy said small piece of ground, because she had besides as much cleared land as she could well cultivate, & comp't was her brother-in-law & in rather narrow circumstances. These respondents file herewith, as part of this answer, a copy of the aforesaid will of Arva Colson marked (M.).

Having thus fully answered the comp't's bill, and denying generally all matters not herein already admitted, denied, or avoided, they pray to be

hence dismissed with their costs, &c.

Johnston, for Resp'ts

Sworn to in open Court by the respondent  
Bailis Shumate, Oct: 10<sup>th</sup> 1859.

R. W. Hambleton Clerk



Bailiff Shumate Del.

(J.)

ad. } Answer

Henry Colson

---

Exhibits filed.

---

filed in Old Court 10<sup>th</sup>  
day of October - 1859.



Henry Colson Complt

vs  $\frac{1}{2}$  In Chancery

John Colson & others, Defts

This Cause came on again to be heard upon the papers heretofore read in the Case and the orders therein made and upon the decree rendered in the Case on the 13<sup>th</sup> day of October 1860 by which Henry J Morgan was appointed Commissioner to Convey to the Complainant from the Defendants the land in said decree mentioned and upon the report of said Commissioner Morgan bearing date on the 31<sup>st</sup> day of March 1861 to ~~which there are~~ ~~no exceptions~~ of his having conveyed the said land in the decree mentioned from the Defendants to the Plaintiff pursuant to said decree to which report there are no exceptions and the same is confirmed and the said deed made by said Commissioner of said land to said Plaintiff being seen and inspected by the Court is approved of and ordered to be placed in the hands of the Clerk of the County Court of Lee County for recordation and the said Cause being argued by Counsel on Consideration Whereof the Court doth adjudge order and decree that the Complainant recover of the Adult Defendants Bailiffs Shumate and John L D Colson, who have contested the Plfs right to recover <sup>in this case</sup>, his costs by him expended in prosecuting this suit And nothing further appearing necessary for the action of the Court it is ordered that the Cause be Stricken from the docket



Henry Colson

vs. Final Decree

John Colson & others

Entered Page 430

Enter this decree

J. A. C.  
April 25. 1866

Chd 160



Henry Colson Complainant

vs

In Chancery

John Colson & others Defts

This cause came on to be heard this 13<sup>th</sup> day of October 1860 upon the bill of the Complainant the answer of the Defendants Bailis Shumate & Rebecca his wife John W. Colson and Sarah Colson, the answer of William Colson Galena Colson and Harvey Colson infant heirs of Harvey Colson Deed by their Guardian ad litem David Miller, the depositions of witnesses and exhibits filed and was argued by counsel and it appearing that the order of publication made in this case against John Colson jr has been duly posted and published as the law requires and he still failing to appear and answer the Complainant's bill it is ordered that the same be taken for confessed as to him and it moreover appearing to the Court that the Complainant is entitled to a conveyance from the Defendants (heirs at law of Harvey <sup>or Harvey</sup> Colson Deed) of all that part of the tract of land in the bill mentioned lying west of the lane branch being the largest branch that passes through said said tract of land on consideration whereof the Court doth adjudge order and decree that Henry Morgan be and he is hereby appointed a commissioner to convey <sup>specifically</sup> from the said Defts heirs at law of Harvey <sup>or Harvey</sup> Colson Deed ~~all that part of the tract~~ to the Complainant Henry Colson all that part of the tract of land in the bill mentioned lying west of the lane branch which is alleged to pass through said tract of land and in the event that said branch does



Not run entirely through said tract of land  
 so as to form a division line between the  
 said parties of said tract of land then that said  
 Commissioner adopt the said branch as the  
 division line of said tract of land so far  
 as it may run through said tract of land  
 and extend the division line through the  
 said tract of land in the direction correspon-  
 -ding with the direction of said Land Branch  
 and run out the said tract of land so  
 as to inform the Court whether the extension  
 of said division line from said branch be a  
 fair and equal division of the said tract of  
 land and make report thereof, together with a  
 plat of said tract of land and division line,  
 to the Court at the next term till which time  
 this cause is continued

Henry Colson

vs Decree

Geo Colson jr & others

Octo. 1860

Enter this  
S. H. L.

Enter

Oct 18



50 dollars of land of record  
The deposition of Robert M. Ely taken on the 10<sup>th</sup> day of November  
1859 at the Residence of Robert M. Ely in Lee County Virginia  
Pursuant to the Accompanying Notice for the purpose of being used  
as evidence in behalf of Henry Colston in a suit now depending  
in the Circuit Court of Lee County Virginia wherein the said Henry  
Colston is Complainant and Parties Defendant John Colston William  
Colston William Colston Harvey Colston Ruben Shumate and  
Balis Shumate are Defendants. The said Robert M. Ely after  
being first duly sworn deposes and says as follows

1<sup>st</sup> Question by Henry Colston

Mr Robert M. Ely, do you know the land that John and Henry  
Hase sold to, Harvey Colston and if so State where it lies  
and by whose lands it is bounded

Answer, I do. It is in Lee County Virginia  
on the waters of Indian creek and bounded  
on the south by John Colston senior on  
the west by William Hayes now by Samuel  
Mepherson on the north by Charles Bailey and  
Robert M. Ely on the east by Mill Ely

2<sup>nd</sup> Question by same

Mr Ely State if you know who the said Hase sold said land  
to, and by whom the said Hase received payment for the  
said land and when

Answer - Hayes sold the land to Aris  
Colston as Hayes told me I don't know  
all the payments. I was called on by Henry  
Colston to value two horses as payment to  
said land. I and Mathew Gibson valued them  
Hayes received them as a part of the value of said  
land. One of the horses was said to be Henry's  
the other Arvey's, I think Henry's was



valued to twenty five dollars or  
eighty five dollars I don't correct know  
I think Arvey's was valued to sixty or sixty five  
dollars

3<sup>rd</sup> Question by same

Mr R. M. Ely did <sup>not</sup> the horses you and Gibson valued  
finish paying for said lands or not

Answer - I think it was in the last payment

4<sup>th</sup> Question by same

Mr Ely who has been in possession of said land west of a  
branch passing through <sup>and called</sup> the land branch from the  
year 1834 until the present

Answer - Henry Colston

5<sup>th</sup> Question by same

Mr Ely, in what year did Arvey Colson depart this life  
and how far does the land in controversy lie from  
where ~~Henry~~ Colson lived and died

Answer - Harvey Colston Died in 1842 or 43  
and lived in St Louis three hundred yards of  
said land

6<sup>th</sup> Question by same

Mr R. M. Ely how many acres are there in the tract of land  
in controversy

Answer - I don't know I suppose about  
fifty

7<sup>th</sup> Question by same

Mr Ely at the time you valued said horses to said horses did you  
value them as a payment of Arvey Colson or Henry Colson to  
said horses for said land

Answer - I don't know it was in payment of  
the land purchased by Colston or Harvey  
I suppose it was in payment of both of  
them, and further this deponent says not Robert M. Ely

See County Court.

I Moses S Ball <sup>a Justice of said County</sup> do hereby Certify that the foregoing deposition  
was taken <sup>sworn to</sup> and subscribed before me at the time and  
place mentioned in the Caption, and that the deposi-  
- tion is in the handwriting of the witness and subscribed  
by him in my presence and that Henry Colson the  
Complainant, and Sarah Colson Balis Thumate two of  
the defendants and David Miller the Guardian ad litem  
for Celena Colson William Colson and Arvey Colson  
the three infant defendants were present during the exami-  
- nation, and that I am not interested in this suit  
Given under my hand this 30<sup>th</sup> day of September 1859  
M. S. Ball J.P.

Justice for

.75



Richard M. Hambleton  
Jamestown  
Virginia

Henry Calson  
as depts  
John Calson & others



Henry Calson  
vs & deposition  
John Calson & al

Rec'd sealed 10<sup>th</sup> Oct 1839  
H. M. Hamilton Clerk

*[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the paper. The text appears to be a deposition or legal record.]*



1

The Depositions of William J. Norvell ~~Samuel M. C.~~  
Pherson John Skumaker William H. Lottner William Bush Enoch Bush  
John Colson & James B. Colson

Taken at the residence of Robert H. Gley in Lee County Virginia on the  
30<sup>th</sup> day of September 1859 pursuant to the accompanying Return for the  
purpose of being used as evidence in behalf Henry Colson in a Suit  
now depending in the Circuit Court of Lee County Virginia wherein  
The said Henry Colson is Complainant and John Colson Sarah  
Colson Bess Skumaker Rebecca Skumaker Selena Colson William  
Colson and Harry Colson are Defendants, The said Samuel M. C. Pherson  
being first duly sworn deposeth and saith as follows  
1<sup>st</sup> Question by Complainant

Mr Samuel M. C. Pherson pleads State what you may have heard  
Arvey Colson say in his ~~testimony~~ relation to the land now in  
controversy between himself and his Widow and him  
at one time as much as may serve  
me at this time I was walking up the  
branch with Arvey Colson I wanted to  
know you & Henry have bought this  
land he said ~~yes~~ then I ask him  
how they had ~~acquired~~ he said with  
this branch now this is the Hays  
land that John & Henry Hays own  
I this branch is known by the name  
of the Lane branch Henry Colson took  
the west side of the branch and Arvey Colson  
the east side of the branch the hole of said  
lands is bounded as follows on the west by  
Wm Sayers now McPherson on the south by  
John Colson <sup>and the widow of Colson</sup> on the east by Robert  
M. Gley on the north now by said Gley  
and Charles Bailey  
and further this Depoant saith not S. M. C. Pherson



John Shumaker an other Witness of lawfull after being duly sworn deposes  
and says as follows

1<sup>st</sup> Question by Henry Coleman

Mr Shumaker do you know the land that John and Henry Hays  
deeded to Harry Coleman and if so state where it lays and how it  
is bounded

Answer by John Shumaker

Said part of the land lies in Lee County on the  
waters of Indian Creek bounded on the west by  
William Sayers now by McPherson the north  
boundary by Charles Bailey and Robert M. Elly the east  
by Bell Elly on the south by John Coleman and  
Sally Coleston

2<sup>nd</sup> Question by same

Mr Shumaker state what you may have heard Harry Coleman in  
his lifetime say relative to his purchase and paying for half of  
said land that you have above described

Answer by John Shumaker

Some years ago I was in ~~the~~ at Harry Colestons  
and in conversation with my self and Harry  
Coleston Harry Coleston stated to me that  
the land on the western side of the branch  
running from the mountain by Henry Colestons  
was Harry Colestons

3<sup>rd</sup> Question by same Mr Shumaker state who has been in pos-  
session of all the tract of land you have above described west of said  
branch for the last 10 or twenty years

Answer by John Shumaker

Harry Coleston

Question by David Miller Guardian ad litem

Mr Shumaker do you know who paid the taxes  
for this land and who was the person who bought  
it from them? Ans. I do not

And further this deponent saith not

<sup>this</sup>  
John Shumaker  
mark

The deposition of William H Litterle another witness of lawfull age after  
having been duly sworn deposes and says as follows

1<sup>st</sup> Question by Henry Coleman

Mr Litterle state any thing you may have heard Harry Coleman  
in his lifetime  
or his wife Sarah Coleman since his death about this piece of land  
now in controversy between said Harrys heirs and Widow and my  
self

Answer by William H Litterle

I never had acquainted with Harry Coleston  
I have heard Sally Coleston the widow of  
Harry Coleston say that the land ought  
to be bought to Harry Coleston if he had his  
note

Q. by D. Miller Guardian ad litem

Do you know what land she alluded to at that  
time?

Answer by William H Litterle

I dont know I suppose it was the land in  
controversy

And further this deponent saith not

<sup>of</sup> David Miller

The deposition of William J. Stover another witness of lawfull  
age after having been duly sworn deposes and says as follows

1<sup>st</sup> Question by Henry Coleman

Mr Stover do you know the land that John and Henry Hays deeded  
to Harry Coleman if so state where it lays and how it is bounded

Answer by William J. Stover I know the Land it lies

in Lee County Virginia bounded as follows On the  
North by the lands of Charles Bailey and R. M. Elly and on  
the East by R. M. Elly On the South by the Land of John



Colson Sr. and on the west by the lands of Samuel McPherson  
formerly the lands of William Hayes.

2<sup>nd</sup> Question by said

Mr Norvell State if you know what you know about the Contr  
act of said Hayes and Harvey Colson relative to said land. and  
also state anything you may have heard the said Harvey Colson  
on his lifetime say about not paying for half of said land  
Answer by Mr. J. Norvell I do not know any thing about the  
Contract with Arva Colson and Haves. I heard Arva Colson  
say that himself and Henry Colson had bought <sup>the said</sup> a tract of  
land from the Haves in partnership and <sup>they</sup> paid for it  
in forced property and had divided it themselves and  
all on the East side of the branch running near where  
the said Henry Colson now lives which he told me was  
the line they had made was his and all on the west of  
said branch was Henry Colson. This conversation was in  
the year 1841.

3<sup>rd</sup> Question by said.

Mr Norvell state who has been in possession of all said tract  
of land you have in your first answer described lying West  
of said branch and what <sup>of the same</sup> branch is known by  
answer by Mr. J. Norvell Henry Colson has been in possession  
of the land and the branch is known by the name of  
the land branch and further this deponent faith that

J. J. Norvell

The depositions of William Bush an other witness of lawfull age  
after having been duly sworn depose and say as follows

1<sup>st</sup> Question by Henry Colson

Mr Bush do you know the land that John and Henry Hayes  
deeded to Arva Colson and if so state where it lies and how  
bounded.

Answer by William Bush



I know the lands said to have been  
purchased by Harry Colston from Hayes  
the land ~~thus~~ <sup>is</sup> on the water of  
Jordan brook and bounded on the south by  
John Colston on the west by William Taylor  
~~new name~~ <sup>on the north by Charles</sup>  
~~and Robert~~ <sup>on the east by John</sup>  
Question by Same

Mr Bush State anything you may have heard Harry  
Colston say relative to his paying for half of said land  
that you have above described

Answer by William Bush  
I know nothing about Harry Colston paying for  
the land. I have a few notes  
written for the land that I have  
west side of the brook. The land  
was Harry Colston's and that he ought  
to have made him a deed long ago for the  
land was Harry Colston's

3<sup>rd</sup> Question by Same

Mr Bush State any conversation you may have heard  
Harry Colston and his wife have about said land

Answer by William Bush

I don't recollect any thing more than stated  
and for this defendant I submit

William Bush  
mark

The deposition of John Colston is an other witness of said fact  
after having been duly sworn deposes and says as follows

1<sup>st</sup> Question by Henry Colston

Mr Colston State if you know the land that John and Henry have  
sold, divided to Harry Colston if so State where said land lies and how



Answer by John Colerton  
I do the land in Lee County on the  
waters of American Creek. The land on the  
north by John Colerton on the  
west by William Seyers now by Samuel Seyers  
on the north by Robert M. Ely and Charles Baley  
on the east by R. M. Ely

1<sup>st</sup> Question by same  
Mr Colson State anything you may know about a Contract  
of Harry Colson Myself and John and Henry Harry Colson to  
the purchasing of said land from said Harry  
Answer by John Colerton  
I have never heard of such a contract. I think  
Harry Colson bought the land on the  
west of the land from the  
Lane branch and the east end

3<sup>rd</sup> Question by same  
Mr Colson do you know how the basis was paid for said land  
and by whom

Answer by John Colerton  
I can't say by who Harry Colson received money. I think  
one from Harry and one from Henry  
they were paid by R. M. Ely and Matthew  
Colson in pay ments of said land

4<sup>th</sup> Question by same  
Mr Colson who has been in possession of said land west of the  
said Lane branch for the last 20 years and also state if there  
has been any improvement made on said land in the last 20 years  
and by whom  
Answer by John Colerton

The land in controversy has been in possession  
of Henry Colerton and considerable improved  
for the last twenty years

And further this deponent saith not

Answer by Enock Bush  
The deposition of Enock Bush an other witness of lawfull age  
after having been duly sworn deposes and says as follows

1<sup>st</sup> Question by Henry Colson  
Mr Bush do you know the land that John and Henry have  
deeded to Harry Colson and if so state where it lies and  
how it is bounded

Answer by Enock Bush  
I know the land that is said to be the  
Land purchased by Colerton of the Stayres  
lies in Lee County on the waters of  
Creek, bounded on the <sup>South</sup> east by John Colerton  
on the west by William Seyers now by the Harry  
on the north by Charles Baley and  
R. M. Ely on the east by R. M. Ely

2<sup>nd</sup> Question by same  
Mr Bush State what you know about the Contract of said  
Harry Colson Myself and Harry Colson relative to the said land and  
also state anything you may have heard the said Harry Colson  
say about the paying for half of said land and about him  
making me a right to said land west of the branch known  
by the name of the Lane branch passing through said land

Answer by Enock Bush  
I know nothing about any contract he has made  
with me. I never under stood any thing  
about the land more than Harry Colson  
told me the <sup>west</sup> end of the land was  
Harry's to the Lane branch  
And further this deponent saith not  
Enock Bush



8  
James B. Colman an other attempt of lawfull age after  
having been duly sworn deposes as follows

1st Question by Henry Colman

Mr Colman please state what you may know about  
the Making Payments to John and Henry Baro for the  
land now in Controversy between myself and the Widow  
and him of Harry Colman deceased

Answer: I recollect of being present when  
two notes was valued to Cases. I think they  
was valued by R. M. Ely & Matthew Johnson  
and my recollection is that one of the  
horses belonged to Henry Colman  
and the horses went in payment to  
the baro's purchase of land

~~And further that the value of said horses was~~  
~~valued by R. M. Ely & Matthew Johnson~~  
~~and the value of said horses was~~  
~~valued by R. M. Ely & Matthew Johnson~~  
~~and the value of said horses was~~  
~~valued by R. M. Ely & Matthew Johnson~~  
two County Court

I Robert M. Ely Justice of the said County do hereby  
Certify that the foregoing depositions were taken sworn to  
and subscribed before me at the time and place mentioned in  
the Caption and that Henry Colman the Complainant and  
Sarah Colman and Bala Skumate two of the Defendants and  
that David Miller the guardian ad litem for the three  
Infants Defendants viz William Colman Brian Colman and  
Arroy Colman were present during the Examination and  
that said depositions are in my hand writing and that of  
the witnesses and subscribed by them in my presence  
and that I have read the same and the content of said depositions  
under my hand and signature this 1st day of June 1879

Robert M. Ely  
Justice of the Peace  
County of ... State of ...



## Bill of Cost

Justices fees Robert M. Ely \$4.50

Witnesses	Samuel M. Pherson	.50
	William J. Norwood	.50
	John Shumaker	.50
	William H. Littrell	.50
	William Bush	.50
	Enoch Bush	.50

\$ 7.50

So much of the answer of William H. Littrell to the first question asked him by comp<sup>t</sup> in ~~incompetency~~ as gives the statements of Sally Colson, is excepted to as not proper evidence against the other defendants. Feb. 20<sup>th</sup> 1860. Johnston, for def<sup>s</sup>

Exception sustained

Saml. V. Ballou



Henry Calson  
 vs { depositions

John Calson Val

Red sealed Oct 10<sup>th</sup> 1839  
R. W. Hamblen cl 16

Chd 160



Henry Calson  
depositions

Bail's Shoemate<sup>val</sup>

Red sealed 24<sup>th</sup>

Sept 1860

R M Hamblin



Henry Colson, Complt. vs. John Colson & others Defts - In Chy  
To the Honorable Samuel D. Fulkerson judge of the  
Circuit court of Lee County.

By a decree of your honor entered in this cause on the 13<sup>th</sup> day of October 1860, the undersigned was appointed a commissioner to convey Specially from the said Defts heirs at law of Arva Colson Deed, to the complainant Henry Colson all that part of the tract of land in the bill mentioned lying west of the lane branch, which is alleged to pass through said tract of land, and in the event that said branch does not run entirely through said tract of land so as to form a division line between the said parties of said tract of land; then that said commissioner adopt the said Branch as the division line of said tract of land so far as it may run through said tract of land, and extend the division line through the said tract of land in the direction corresponding with the direction of said branch, And he was further directed to run out the said tract of land, so as to inform the court whether the extension of said division line from said branch, be a fair and equal division of the said tract of land, and to make report thereof together with the plat of said land and division line to the court at the next term. And your commissioner having discharged those requirements would respectfully beg leave to report as follows

That on the 30<sup>th</sup> day of March <sup>1861</sup> (your commissioner not being himself a Surveyor, procured the services of Eli Davis the deputy Surveyor of Lee county) I went with said Davis ~~at~~ the land in the bill mentioned, and ran around the same according to the said decree by the Deed filed in this cause made by the Hays to Arva Colson, on the 15<sup>th</sup> Nov 1834. A plat of said entire tract of ~~land~~ land as laid out by said Davis is herewith filed marked A. The bearings and distances not being given in said deed I had to survey



the said land by the courses and distances of contiguous tracts mentioned in said Deed Letters A, B, C, D, E & A. On said plat will show the entire tract as ran out by said Deed A, B, C, H, D, E & A. Shows that part of said tract of land directed to be conveyed to said Henry Calson by your com<sup>t</sup> by the decree aforesaid, which he has accordingly done See Deed filed herewith marked B.

Your commissioner will here remark, that part of the land embraced or seems to be embraced in said Deed is claimed by Robert Mc Ely under a patent issued by the commonwealth as your commissioner is informed that part of said tract claimed by said Ely will be seen by a reference to said plat, by figures 8, 11, 5, 6, 7, - 8 Said Ely and those holding under him, are now in possession of that part claimed by him

Your commissioner, regardless of the claims of said Ely upon said tract of land, has conveyed to said Henry Calson all the land lying west of said division line, considering as he does that, no conveyance made by him will in any <sup>way</sup> affect the rights of the parties, and considering to that the decree aforesaid directed such conveyance to be made All of which is respectfully Submitted  
H. J. Morgan Com<sup>t</sup>

March 31<sup>st</sup> 1861

### Bill of costs

7 1/2	Hours in going 30 miles to the land in controversy	\$5. 62 1/2
7 1/2	Hours in returning from same place at 15 per hour	5. 62 1/2
5	Hours engaged in Surveying while there at 15 " "	3. 75
3	Hours in making this report at 15 per hour	2. 25
	Surgeon for his Services	4. 00

Charged to Henry Calson \$ 21. 25

H. J. Morgan Com<sup>r</sup>



Henry Colson

as  $\frac{3}{3}$  Com<sup>r</sup> Report,

John Colson & al

Filed March 31<sup>st</sup> 1861

J. J. Morgan, Clk



Henry Colson

as Surveyor & Plat,

John Colson & al

A



95  
2 1/2  

---

525  
37  

---

562 1/2

375



# A Will of real and personal estate

I Awa Colson of Lee county and State of Virginia do hereby make my last will and testament in manner and form following that is to say,

1<sup>st</sup> I desire that my mare and colt, one yoke of Oxen some young cattle and some young Hogs and one Mans Saddle be immediately sold after my decease, and out of the Monies arising therefrom, all my just debts and funeral expenses be paid.

2<sup>d</sup> I give to my wife Sary all the household furniture and the benefit of the plantation, four Milch cows one brown mare and colt, all the Sheep, 3 hogs and pigs and a sufficient number of hogs for bacon, also the Beggums, to have so long as she remains a widow, and should she marry, then to have her thirs and my Heirs to have the benefit of the remainder of my estate, to be equally divided among them, I do hereby constitute and appoint my friend William Thompson executor of this my last will and testament, in witness whereof I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal this 1<sup>st</sup> day of October 1842

Teste

Awa<sup>his</sup> Colson  
mark

Silvester Thompson }  
John Colson }  
Richard Crabtree }

Virginia

At a court begun and held for Lee county at the court House thereof on Monday the 17<sup>th</sup> of October 1842  
The last will and testament of Awa Colson deceased was proved by the oaths of Silvester Thompson and John Colson, witnesses thereto and is ordered to be recorded, And on the Motion of William Thompson the executor therein named, who made oath thereto, and together with Joshua Ewing John Colson and Silvester Thompson his Securities, entered into and acknowledged a bond in the penalty of \$500; conditioned as the law



law directs, certificate is granted him for obtaining a  
probate of the said Will in due form

Teste J. M. Merison, C. C.  
Copies

Teste  
H. J. Morgan C. C.



Copy Area Colour

For } Will

John Shaeffer

---

See for copy 110

(m.)



This Indenture made and concluded upon this 15 day of November one thousand eight hundred and thirty 1834 Between John Hays, Henry Hays and Susan his wife, of Butts County State of Georgia of the one part, and Arva Colson of Lee County and state of Virginia of the other part. Witnesseth that the said John Hays, Henry Hays and Susan his wife for, and in consideration of the sum of two hundred and fifty dollars, to them in hand paid by the said Arva Colson, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged have bargained and sold to the said Arva Colson a certain tract, or parcel of land, lying and being in Lee County on the waters of Indian Creek; and bounded as follows To wit, Beginning at a stake on the top of the ~~junction~~ ridge between the line of said Hays, and William Sayles on a line of John Colson's, and with the back the back line of said Colson's line North eastwardly to a branch between a plantation of said Hays of forty two and a half acres and the land of Robert M. Ely's, thence up said branch with the meanders of the same a North westward course to a small beech, on the bank of said branch, between a high hill and a ridge, thence leaving said branch, up the side of said ridge, nearly a North course to two small white oaks on the side of said ridge, on a line of R. V. S. Brockett's survey, thence with said line to the beginning corner of said survey, thence to the top of a ridge, which is mentioned in the beginning, thence along the top of said ridge 60 poles to the beginning To have and to hold the said tract or parcel of land, containing one hundred and thirty acres, be the same more, or less with all the appurtenances, thereto belonging, And the said John Hays, Henry Hays and Susan his wife for themselves do covenant with the said Arva Colson to warrant and defend the aforesaid premises to the said Arva Colson his heirs, or assigns, free from the claim of themselves.



Themselves, their <sup>heirs</sup>, or any person claiming under  
 or by them. But it is expressly understood between  
 the parties that these John Hays, Henry Hays, and  
 Susan his wife are not to defend the aforesaid  
 premises free from older or better title than  
 theirs, but from themselves, their heirs, & they will  
 for ever warrant and defend in witness  
 whereof they have here unto set their hands and  
 the day and year above written

Witness  
 Henry Clifton <sup>husb</sup>  
 Witness  
 Alex H Sutton <sup>husb</sup> Nov. 17. 1834.  
 John <sup>his</sup> Hays <sup>seal</sup>  
 Henry <sup>his</sup> Hays <sup>seal</sup>  
 Susan <sup>his</sup> Hays <sup>seal</sup>

State of Tennessee  
 Rutherford County & County Court: November term, 1834.  
 John R. Laughlin, clerk of the County Court of said  
 county, do hereby certify, that the within deed of  
 bargain and sale from John Hays, Henry Hays, and  
 Susan Hays his wife to John Tolson, was presented in  
 open court at the above term, and the execution  
 thereof duly proven by the oath of Henry Clifton and  
 Alexander H. Sutton, subscribing witnesses thereto. And  
 thereupon the court, consisting of John Fletcher, William  
 Vinson and Henry D. Gannison, Esquires, Justices, proceed-  
 ed to take the private examination of said Susan  
 Hays, wife of said Henry Hays, separate and apart  
 from her said husband, who says she did freely  
 and voluntarily execute the same for the purposes  
 therein specified free from any fear, constraint  
 or coercion of her said husband, and that she does



Hay, wife of Henry Hay, touching the execution of the  
same, who says she did freely and voluntarily execute  
the same free from any fear, constraint or coercion  
of her said husband, for the purposes therein specified,  
and that she does not now wish to retract therefrom,  
and ordered that the same be certified for registration  
in Lee county in the state of Virginia where the said

In testimony whereof I have hereunto set my  
hand and affixed my seal of office at New-  
Gessborough, this 17th. day of November, 1834.  
John R. Laughlin, Clerk.

State of Tennessee  
Rutherford County } Act.

John Fletcher, chairman of the county court of  
said county, do hereby certify, that John R. Laughlin  
whose official signature appears to the foregoing certificate  
is the acting clerk of said county, that his said attes-  
tation is in due form of Law, and that all his  
official acts are entitled to full faith and credit, in  
any court or office in the United States.

Given under my hand and seal at the county of  
Rutherford in the state of Tennessee, this 17th. day  
of November 1834. John Fletcher, ch. Seal



John May &  
Henry May Jr  
To Sec d

Abra Calson

Recorded in Book

No 7 page 272

Abra Calson, chairman  
for State tax — \$1.66



(2.)



State of Tennessee  
~~Rutherford~~ COUNTY, to wit:  
Cannon We Isaac Finley Jp

E. Stephens Jp  
Tennessee

Justices of the Peace in the county aforesaid, in the state of ~~Tennessee~~, do hereby certify, that

John Hays and Henry Hays  
parties to a certain Deed, bearing date on the 15th day of Novr. 1834, and hereto  
annexed, personally appeared before us, in our county aforesaid, and acknowledged the same to be their act  
and deed, and desired us to certify the said acknowledgment to the Clerk of the County Court of ~~Lee~~ State of  
Virginia in order that the said deed may be recorded. Given under our hands and seals this 2nd day of Novr  
1834

Isaac Finley Jp  
E. Stephens Jp

Henry Hays  
John Hays  
Seal  
Seal

State of Tennessee  
~~Rutherford~~ COUNTY, to wit:  
Cannon We Isaac Finley Jp

Tennessee

Justices of the Peace in the county aforesaid, in the state of ~~Tennessee~~, do hereby certify, that

Susan Hays wife of Henry Hays  
parties to a certain deed, bearing date on the 15th  
day of November 1834 and hereunto annexed, personally appeared before us, privily and a-  
part from her husband, and having the deed aforesaid fully explained to her  
the said Susan Hays acknowledged the same to be her  
act and deed, and declared that she had willingly signed, sealed and delivered the same, and that  
she wished not to retract it. Given under our hands and seals, this 3 day of November  
1834

Isaac Finley Jp  
E. Stephens Jp

Susan Hays  
Seal

At a court begun and held for Lee county at the  
courthouse thereof on the 20th day of February 1837 this Indenture  
of bargain and Sale for and between John Hays and Henry  
Hays and Susan his wife of the one part; and Awa Bolson  
of the other part; admitted to record upon the certificates of  
Isaac Finley and Elijah Stephens two Magistrates of Cannon  
County in the State of Tennessee

John W. S. Morison D



To John Colson Jr Rebecca Shumate & Baile Shumate  
Sarah Colson Harvey Colson William Colson and Helena  
Colson: You will take notice that. On the 22<sup>nd</sup> day of August  
1860 at the residence of R. M. Elger in Lee County Va I  
will proceed to take the depositions of Henry Fry & others  
to be read as evidence upon the trial of the suit in Chancery  
now pending in the Circuit Court of Lee County wherein I am  
plaintiff and you are defendants & if the said depositions should  
not be completed on said day the taking thereof will be continued  
from day to day and from time to time and if necessary adjourned  
from place to place until the said depositions are all taken  
August 1860 Henry Colson

Lee County Court

This day Henry J. Colston came be-  
fore me the undersigned a Justice of the peace for  
said County and made oath that he  
delivered a true ~~copy~~<sup>copy</sup> of the above notice  
to the ears of Harvey Colston as above stated  
in the above notice given under my hand  
this 22<sup>nd</sup> August 1860

Robert H. Elger



Notice  
Copy



Virginia So Court do wit

This day Thos. Ely made oath before  
Geo. R. M. Hamblin Clerk that he believed John Colson  
jr (son of Sarah Colson), is not a resident of this  
Commonwealth

Given under my hand June 20<sup>th</sup> 1859

Geo. R. M. Hamblin Clerk



Calson  
us } Affidavit  
Calsonal



Virginia

At Rules held in the Clerks Office of the Circuit  
Court of Lee County on Monday the 11<sup>th</sup> day of July 1859.

Wmny Calson

Comptt

vs

In Chancery

John Calson jr, Rebecca Shoemate,  
Balis Shoemate, William Calson,  
Selena Calson Harvey Calson <sup>Defts</sup>  
and Sarah Calson, children &  
heirs at law of Harvey Calson Decd.

The object of the bill is to retract the legal title  
from the Heirs of Harvey Calson <sup>Decd</sup> to the land in the bill  
mentioned, and vest the same in Comptt. And it appearing  
that John Calson jr is not an inhabitant of this Common-  
wealth, it is ordered that he appear here within one  
month after due publication of this order, & answer the  
Comptts bill, and that a copy of this order be forthwith  
inserted in some newspaper published in this Common-  
wealth for four successive weeks, and a copy also posted  
at the front door of the Court House of this County

A. Copy - Teste.

R. M. Hamblew Clk

Lee County to wit

This day came Richard M Hamblew Clerk of the  
Circuit of Lee County, before me the undersigned  
a Commissioner in Chancery in Lee County Virginia  
and made oath in due form of law that he had posted  
a copy of the above order at the front door of the  
Court House of Said County Given under my hand  
this 27<sup>th</sup> day of July 1859

H. Morgan Comr



Henny Calson  
W { Order Publication  
Wm Calson jr Val



To John Colson jr Reuben Shumate Balis Shumate Sarah  
Colson Harvey Colson William Colson and Selma Colson  
You will please take notice that I shall on the 30<sup>th</sup> day  
of September 1859 at the residence of Robert M. Ely in Lee  
County Virginia proceed to take the depositions of William  
Thompson William Bush Enoch Bush Samuel M.  
Pherson Robert M. Ely James B. Colson John Colson  
Daniel Settle and others for the purpose (when so  
taken) of being used as evidence in my behalf in a suit  
now depending in the Circuit Court of Lee County Virginia  
wherein I am Complainant and you are Defendants  
I will continue from day to day and from time to  
time until said depositions shall be completed  
Given under my hand August 29<sup>th</sup> 1859  
Henry Colson



John Colson & Son

Notary

Henry Colson

Sid County, Iowa.

This day Job B. Crabtree made oath before me  
the undersigned a Justice of the said County, that he handed to  
Sarah Colson Rebecca Shumate Balis Shumate William Colson  
Celang Colson and Harvey Colson a true copy of the within  
Notar More than ten days previous to <sup>the</sup> day 29 day of Sept 1859  
Given under my hand September 1859

Robert M. Ely Jc



The Commonwealth of Virginia,

TO THE SHERIFF OF LEE COUNTY—GREETING:

WE COMMAND YOU TO SUMMON

*John Colson, Rebecca Shoemate  
Bailies Shoemate Gelana Colson, William Colson  
Harry Colson V Sarah Colson,*

to appear before the Judge of our Circuit Court for Lee County, at the Court House, in the Clerk's Office, at Rules  
to be held on the first Monday in *May next* to answer a Bill

*in Chancery exhibited against them by Harry Colson,*

And have then there this writ. Witness, RICHARD M. HAMBLÉN, Clerk of our said Court, at the Court House  
this *25<sup>th</sup>* day of *March* 185*7*, in the *84<sup>th</sup>* year of the Commonwealth.

*R. M. Hamblen Clerk*



Henry Colson

123 } War in Ohio

John Colson & al

May Rules 1859

April 8th 1859—

Executed on all of  
the within except.

John Colson he is not  
in the Commonwealth  
his mother says he is in  
Kentucky about the  
Craborcheds

J. Brown D.S.



The Commonwealth of Virginia,

TO THE SHERIFF OF LEE COUNTY—GREETING:

WE COMMAND YOU TO SUMMON

*John Calson, Rebecca Shoemate  
Patier Shoemate Gelana Calson, William Calson  
Harry Calson, & Sarah Calson,*

to appear before the Judge of the Circuit Court for Lee County, at the Court House, in the Clerk's Office, at Rules  
to be held on the first Monday in *may next* to answer *to a*

*bill in Chancery exhibited against them by Henry  
Calson.*

And have then there this writ. Witness, RICHARD M. HAMBLER, Clerk of our said Court, at the Court House,  
this *28th* day of *March* 185*7*, in the *54th* year of the Commonwealth.

*A. Copy Test*

*R. M. Hamblen Clerk*

*R. M. Hamblen Clerk*



3  
Lee County, Lowry: ~~under my hand~~

To the Sheriff or any Constable of the said County  
I Command you in the name of the Commonwealth of Virginia to  
Summon, Daniel Litterer Sr William Thompson William J. Torrell  
William Bush Emory Bush William H. Leland James B. Colson  
Samuel M. Phipps and John Colson to appear on the 30<sup>th</sup> day of  
September 1859 at Robert M. Elg's residence in the said County before  
me or such other Justice of the said County as may then be then to  
give evidence in behalf of Henry Colson, in a suit depending in  
the Circuit Court of Lee County Virginia wherein the said Henry Colson  
is Complainant and the widow and heirs of <sup>Henry</sup> Henry Colson dec'd are  
respondents

Given under my hand this 24<sup>th</sup> day of September 1859

Robert M. Elg J.P.



We acknowledge the legal service of the within summons  
this 27<sup>th</sup> of September 1859

James B. Colburn

S. McPherson

Emac <sup>this</sup> ~~the~~ Bych  
mark

Daniel Litchell

Wm Litchell

John Colburn

M. J. Norvell

John Colburn it is

Henry Colburn

1859

20



VIRGINIA, *Washington County, to wit:*

I do hereby certify that the annexed notice has been published, four weeks successively, in the ABINGDON VIRGINIAN, a newspaper printed in the town of Abingdon and State aforesaid.

Given under my hand *Oct 5<sup>th</sup>* 1859

Printer's fee, \$

*Geo N Barr*  
*Jr Ed. Virginian*

VIRGINIA:

**A**T Rules held in the Clerk's office of the Circuit Court of Lee county, on Monday, the 4th day of July, 1859:

Henry Colson,

Complainant,

vs.

John Colson, jr, Rebecca Shoemate, Balis Shoemate, William Colson, Geland Colson, Harvy Colson, and Sarah Colson, children and heirs at law of Harvey Colson, dec'd,

Defendants.

IN CHANCERY.

The object of the bill is, to extract the legal title from the heirs of Harvy Colson, dec'd, to the land in the bill mentioned, and vest the same in complainant: And it appearing that John Colson, jr., is not an inhabitant of this commonwealth, it is ordered that he appear here within one month after due publication of this order and answer the complainant's bill, and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in some newspaper published in this commonwealth, for four successive weeks, and a copy also posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy.—Teste,

R. M. HAMBLEN, Clk.

Aug. 5, 1859—4w



Mr. Henry Colson      See  
1859      To Caleb Mann      Dr  
Aug. 5 To pub. ch. order vs. Mrs. Colson, Dr. Val  
2 sqrs. 4 wks.      \$ 3.75-

**VIRGINIA:**

**A** T Rules held in the Clerk's office of the Circuit Court of Lee county, on Monday, the 4th day of July, 1859:

Henry Colson,      Complainant,  
vs.

John Colson, jr, Rebecca Shoemate, Balis Shoemate, William Colson, Geland Colson, Harvy Colson, and Sarah Colson, children and heirs at law of Harvey Colson, dec'd,      Defendants.

**IN CHANCERY.**

The object of the bill is, to extract the legal title from the heirs of Harvy Colson, dec'd, to the land in the bill mentioned, and vest the same in complainant: And it appearing that John Colson, jr., is not an inhabitant of this commonwealth, it is ordered that he appear here within one month after due publication of this order and answer the complainant's bill; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in some newspaper published in this commonwealth, for four successive weeks, and a copy also posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy.—Teste,

R. M. HAMBLIN, Clk.

Aug. 5, 1859—4w

Received pay

A. M. Crockett  
for Caleb Mann



Henry Colson

Apr 3. 75



TO JOHN COLSON, JR., REBECCA  
SHUMATE, AND BALIS SHU-  
MATE:

YOU will please take notice that, I shall, on the  
30th day of September, 1859, at the residence  
of Robert M. Ely, Esqr., in the county of Lee, and  
State of Virginia, proceed to take the depositions of  
William Thompson, Samuel McPherson, Robert M.  
Ely, William Bush, Enoch Bush, John Colson, Da-  
niel Littrell, James B. Colson, and others, for the  
purpose of being used as evidence in my behalf in a  
suit now depending in the Circuit Court of Lee coun-  
ty, Virginia, wherein I am complainant and you and  
others are defendants. I will continue from day to  
day, and from time to time, until said depositions  
shall be completed.

HENRY COLSON.

September 2, 1859—4w

1859.  
Jonesville, Oct. 18th

I certify that the foregoing notice to take depositions  
was regularly published for four successive weeks  
in the "Democrat," a newspaper published in the  
town of Abingdon, Virginia.

Alfred A. Baker,  
Editor